

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY. DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,346	10/26/2001		Joseph J. Sumakeris	5000.221	2872
21176	7590	07/16/2003			
SUMMA &		•	EXAMINER		
SUITE 200		IUNITY HOUSE F	MUNSON, GENE M		
CHARLOTT	E, NC 28	3277		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2811	,
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ		
u	/A	
V	$\omega \sim$	

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/46, 346 Applicant(s)

J. SUMAKERIS ET AL

Examiner

Group Art Unit G. MUNSON 2811 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_ONE\_\_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** \_\_\_ is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s)\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)\_ is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s)\_ 1-51 ☑ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ \_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement **Application Papers** ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ \_ is/are objected to by the Examiner ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) \*Certified copies not received: \_ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other.\_

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

11 Part of Paper No. \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/046,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Figure 4; claims 7-20, 45 and 49-51

II. Figure 6; claim 46

III. Figure 7; claim 48

IV. Figure 8; claim 47

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 29-35, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43 and 44 are generic; for species I, II and III, claims 1-6 and 21-28 are generic; for species I, III and IV, claims 36 and 39 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Munson/ek (703) 308-4925 or 0956

07/11/03

GENE M. MUNSON

EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 283/